\* The original of this document contains information which is subject to withholding from disclosure under 5 U.S.C. 552. Such material has been deleted from this copy and replaced with XXXXXXX's.

# March 9, 2004 DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY OFFICE OF HEARINGS AND APPEALS

Name of Case: Worker Appeal

Date of Filing: December 17, 2003

Case No.: TIA-0040

XXXXXXXXX (the applicant) applied to the Department of Energy (DOE) Worker Advocacy Office for DOE assistance in filing for state workers' compensation benefits. The DOE Worker Advocacy Office determined that the applicant was not a DOE contractor employee and, therefore, was not eligible for DOE assistance. The applicant appeals that determination. As explained below, we have concluded that the determination is correct.

## I. Background

The Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program Act of 2000 as amended (the EEOICPA or the Act) concerns workers involved in various ways with the nation's atomic weapons program. See 42 U.S.C. §§ 7384, 7385. The Act creates two programs for workers.

The Department of Labor (DOL) administers the first EEOICPA program, which provides federal monetary and medical benefits to workers having radiation-induced cancer, beryllium illness, or silicosis. Eligible workers include DOE employees, DOE contractor employees, as well as workers at an "atomic weapons employer facility" in the case of radiation-induced cancer, and workers at a "beryllium vendor" in the case of beryllium illness. See 42 U.S.C. § 73841(1). The DOL program also provides federal monetary and medical benefits for uranium workers who receive a benefit from a program administered by the Department of Justice (DOJ) under the Radiation Exposure Compensation Act (RECA) as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 2210 note. See 42 U.S.C. § 7384u.

The DOE administers the second EEOICPA program, which does not provide for monetary or medical benefits. Instead, the DOE program provides for an independent physician panel assessment of whether a "Department of Energy contractor employee" has an illness related to exposure to a toxic substance at a DOE facility. 42 U.S.C. § 73850. In general, if a physician panel issues a determination favorable to the employee, the DOE instructs the DOE contractor not to contest a claim for state workers' compensation benefits unless required by law to do so, and the DOE does not reimburse the contractor for any costs that it incurs if it contests the claim. 42 U.S.C. § 73850(e)(3). The DOE program is limited to DOE contractor employees because DOE and DOE contractors would not be involved in state workers' compensation proceedings involving other employers.

The regulations for the DOE program are referred to as the Physician Panel Rule. 10 C.F.R. Part 852. The DOE Worker Advocacy Office is responsible for this program and has a web site that provides extensive information concerning the program.  $\underline{1}/$ 

Pursuant to an Executive Order, 2/ the DOE has published a list of facilities covered by the DOL and DOE programs, and the DOE has designated next to each facility whether it falls within the EEOICPA's definition of "atomic weapons employer facility," "beryllium vendor," or "Department of Energy facility." 68 Fed. Reg. 43,095 (July 21, 2003) (current list of facilities). The DOE's published list also refers readers to the DOE Worker Advocacy Office web site for additional information about the facilities. 68 Fed. Reg. 43,095.

This case involves the DOE program, i.e., the program through which DOE contractor employees may obtain independent physician panel determinations. The applicant states that he worked for Harshaw Chemical Co. - Engelhard in Ohio from 1956 to 1966 and was exposed to beryllium during that employment. The DOE Worker Advocacy Office determined that the applicant was employed by an "atomic weapons employer," not a DOE contractor. See November 18, 2003

<sup>1/</sup> See www.eh.doe.gov/advocacy.

<sup>2</sup>/ See Executive Order No. 13,179 (December 7, 2000).

letter from DOE Worker Advocacy Office to the applicant. Accordingly, the DOE Worker Advocacy Office determined that the applicant was not eligible for the physician panel process. In his appeal, the applicant argues that he was a DOE contractor employee.

## II. Analysis

#### A. Worker Programs

As an initial matter, we emphasize that the DOE physician panel process is separate from state workers' compensation proceedings. A DOE decision that an applicant is not eligible for the DOE physician panel process does not affect (i) an applicant's right to file for state workers' compensation benefits or (ii) whether the applicant is eligible for those benefits under applicable state law.

Similarly, we emphasize that the DOE physician panel process is separate from any claims made under other statutory provisions. Thus, a DOE decision concerning the physician panel process does not affect any claims made under other statutory provisions, such as programs administered by DOL and DOJ.

We now turn to whether the applicant in this case is eligible for the physician panel process.

B. Whether the Applicant is Eligible for the DOE Physician Panel Process

As stated above, the Physician Panel Rule applies only to employees of DOE contractors who worked at DOE facilities. Again, the reason is that DOE and its contractors would not be parties to workers' compensation proceedings involving other employers.

When the DOE Worker Advocacy Office determined that the applicant was not a DOE contractor employee, that Office indicated that Harshaw was an "atomic weapons employer," not a DOE contractor. This determination is consistent with the DOE's published list and description of facilities. The only entry for Harshaw defines the firm as an "AWE," i.e., an "atomic weapons employer," during the

period 1942 to 1955, when the firm processed uranium for the government. See 67 Fed. Reg. 79,073; <a href="www.eh.doe.gov/advocacy">www.eh.doe.gov/advocacy</a> (searchable database on sites).

The DOE Worker Advocacy Office determination that the Harshaw plant was not a DOE facility is correct. A DOE facility is a facility where the DOE conducted operations and either had a proprietary interest or contracted with a firm to provide management and operation, management and integration, environmental remediation services, or construction or maintenance services. 42 U.S.C. § 73841(12); 10 C.F.R. § 852.2. During the applicant's employment, Harshaw was a privately owned and operated chemical company; as of 2001, the site was owned by Englehard Corporation and Chevron Chemical LLC. See Worker Appeal (Case No. TIA-0017), 28 DOE ¶ 80,261 (2003). Accordingly, as we have previously held, the Harshaw plant was not a DOE facility.

Because the Harshaw plant was not a DOE facility, the applicant is not eligible for the DOE physician panel process. Again, we emphasize that this determination does not affect whether the applicant is eligible for (i) state workers' compensation benefits or (ii) federal monetary and medical benefits available under other statutory provisions.

#### IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:

- (1) The Appeal filed in Worker Advocacy, Case No. TIA-0040 be, and hereby is, denied.
- (2) This is a final order of the Department of Energy.

George B. Breznay
Director
Office of Hearings and Appeals

Date: March 9, 2004